



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/680,611      | 10/06/2000  | Raman K. Rao         | RAO-011             | 1358             |

7590 08/08/2002

Stephen E Baldwin  
Trial & Technology Law Group  
545 Middlefield Road  
Suite 220  
Menlo Park, CA 94025

EXAMINER

RAMAKRISHNAIAH, MELUR

|          |              |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

2643

DATE MAILED: 08/08/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

|  |   |
|--|---|
| Application No.<br><b>09/680,611</b>     | Applicant(s)<br><b>Raman K.Rao et al.</b> |
| Examiner<br><b>Melur. Ramakrishnaiah</b> | Art Unit<br><b>2643</b>                   |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

1)  Responsive to communication(s) filed on Oct 6, 2000.

2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.

3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

4)  Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above, claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5)  Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.

6)  Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected.

7)  Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.

8)  Claims \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10)  The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

11)  The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a)  approved b)  disapproved by the Examiner.

If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.

12)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

13)  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a)  All b)  Some\* c)  None of:

1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.

3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

14)  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

a)  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

15)  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

### Attachment(s)

1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

4)  Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_

2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

5)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 5

6)  Other:

Art Unit: 2643

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-3, 5, 6-8, 10, are rejected under 35 U.S.C 102(b) as being anticipated by Camaisa et al. (US PAT:5,845,263, hereinafter Camaisa).

Regarding claims 1 and 6, Camaisa discloses a method for making a gastronomic inquiry with a display device to an information server having a gastronomic database and displaying a response to gastronomic inquiry from the information server on the display device, the method comprising the steps of: sending the gastronomic inquiry from the display device to the information server (100, figs. 1-2, col. 11 lines 58-63 and figs. 6-12), preparing gastronomic response to the gastronomic inquiry on the information server, receiving gastronomic response to the gastronomic inquiry from the information server with the display device, formatting the gastronomic response to the gastronomic inquiry on the display device (figs. 3, 6-12, col. 14 lines 21-67, col. 15 lines 1-21, col. 5 lines 40-65), displaying formatted gastronomic response to the gastronomic inquiry on the display device as shown in figs. 6-12).

Regarding claims 2-3, 5, 7-8, and 10, Camaisa further teaches the following: display device being a mobile device (col. 12 lines 61-65 and claim 1), including a tactile, audible, or

Art Unit: 2643

visual interface for the display device (col. 8 lines 25-29), with the steps being performed at a desired time (col. 13 lines 11-19).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 4 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Camaisa in view of Padmanabhan et al. (US PAT: 6,219,638 B1, filed 11-3-1998, hereinafter Padmanabhan).

Regarding claims 4 and 9, Camaisa does not teach the following: any language is translated.

However, Padmanabhan discloses a telephone message and editing system which teaches the following: any language is translated (col. 5 lines 10-13, col. 5 lines 1-7).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify Camaisa's system to provide for the following: any language is translated as this arrangement would facilitate to send the information in the desired language as taught by Padmanabhan, thus making the system versatile.

Art Unit: 2643

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melur Ramakrishnaiah whose telephone number is (703) 305-1461. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7 AM to 4 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis Kuntz, can be reached on (703) 305-4708. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-9508.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

6. **Any response to this action should be mailed to:**

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

**or faxed to:**

(703) 308-6306, (for formal communications intended for entry)

**Or:**

Art Unit: 2643

(703) 305-9508 (for informal or draft communications, please label  
"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive,  
Arlington, VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

  
Melur. Ramakrishnaiah

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Art Unit 2643.